<u>STATINTL</u>

letters to t

CPYRGHT

Your editorial commendareservation that this organization does raise "some philosophical questions for democratic theory," seems to me but another example of the Post's furry liberalism, that discreet halfway house be ween the secure foothills of conservatism and the unex-

plored peak of radicalism. Any intelligence agency, no matter how incluctable its genesis or expedient its existence, is, by vitage of its secret nature, a flaw in the very democratic structure it is sworn to uphold. Paradoxical, but nothing is perfect, I ; verse? am told; we have enemies withcourt and within, and must regreat in order to advance.

What happens, 12 rlaw should lake over the diamond, seeking the own pertion of the CIA ("Eyes and fection? Does this potential, Ears," Nov. 4), with its vague exist in the CIA? Is it slreshy in practice? Does the recent-suicide of a CIA analyst tes-tify the moral strain the CIA exerts on its workers? Is this a microcoam of the strain on the Republic itself?

These questions, among others, are those many readers of The Post require consideration of Obviously, no government is innocent. But in choosing the lesser svil, may we at least not know the conditions of our choice, instead of a dim equivocation and a "warm salute" in the manner of a poet laureate's occasional

Silver Spring, Md.